SUMMARY REPORT 20 ELDERBERRY DRIVE (FORMERLY 401 ELDERBERRY DRIVE) LAUREL BAY MILITARY HOUSING AREA MARINE CORPS AIR STATION BEAUFORT BEAUFORT, SC

> Revision: 0 Prepared for:

Department of the Navy Naval Facilities Engineering Command, Mid-Atlantic 9324 Virginia Avenue Norfolk, Virginia 23511-3095

and



Naval Facilities Engineering Command Atlantic 9324 Virginia Avenue Norfolk, Virginia 23511-3095

JUNE 2021

SUMMARY REPORT 20 ELDERBERRY DRIVE (FORMERLY 401 ELDERBERRY DRIVE) LAUREL BAY MILITARY HOUSING AREA MARINE CORPS AIR STATION BEAUFORT BEAUFORT, SC

> Revision: 0 Prepared for:

Department of the Navy Naval Facilities Engineering Command, Mid- Atlantic 9324 Virginia Avenue Norfolk, Virginia 23511-3095

and



Naval Facilities Engineering Command Atlantic

9324 Virginia Avenue Norfolk, Virginia 23511-3095

Prepared by:



CDM - AECOM Multimedia Joint Venture 10560 Arrowhead Drive, Suite 500 Fairfax, Virginia 22030

Contract Number: N62470-14-D-9016 CTO WE52 JUNE 2021



Table of Contents

1.0	INTRODUCTION	. 1
1.1 1.2	Background Information UST Removal and Assessment Process	
2.0	SAMPLING ACTIVITIES AND RESULTS	. 3
	UST REMOVAL AND SOIL SAMPLING Soil Analytical Results	
3.0	PROPERTY STATUS	. 4
4.0	REFERENCES	. 4

Table

Table 1	Laboratory	Analytical	Results - Soil
	Laboratory	ranaryticar	Results Soll

Appendices

- Appendix A Multi-Media Selection Process for LBMH
- Appendix B UST Assesment Report
- Appendix C Regulatory Correspondence



List of Acronyms

bgs	below ground surface
BTEX	benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes
СТО	Contract Task Order
COPC	constituents of potential concern
IDIQ	Indefinite Delivery, Indefinite Quantity
IGWA	Initial Groundwater Assessment
JV	Joint Venture
LBMH	Laurel Bay Military Housing
MCAS	Marine Corps Air Station
NAVFAC Mid-Lant	Naval Facilities Engineering Command Mid-Atlantic
NFA	No Further Action
PAH	polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbon
QAPP	Quality Assurance Program Plan
RBSL	risk-based screening level
SCDHEC	South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control
Site	LBMH area at MCAS Beaufort, South Carolina
UST	underground storage tank
VISL	vapor intrusion screening level



1.0 INTRODUCTION

The CDM - AECOM Multimedia Joint Venture (JV) was contracted by the Naval Facilities Engineering Command, Mid-Atlantic (NAVFAC Mid-Lant) to provide reporting services for the heating oil underground storage tanks (USTs) located in Laurel Bay Military Housing (LBMH) area at the Marine Corps Air Station (MCAS) Beaufort, South Carolina (Site). This work has been awarded under Contract Task Order (CTO) WE52 of the Indefinite Delivery, Indefinite Quantity (IDIQ) Multimedia Environmental Compliance Contract (Contract No. N62470-14-D-9016).

As of January 2014, the LBMH addresses were re-numbered to comply with the E-911 emergency response addressing system; however, in order to remain consistent with historical sampling and reporting for LBMH area, the residences will continue to be referenced with their original address numbers in sample nomenclature and reporting documents.

This report summarizes the results the environmental investigation activities associated with the storage of home heating oil and the potential release of petroleum constituents at the referenced property. Based on the results of the investigation, a No Further Action (NFA) determination has been made by the South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control (SCDHEC) for 20 Elderberry Drive (Formerly 401 Elderberry Drive). This NFA determination indicates that there are no unacceptable risks to human health or the environment for the petroleum constituents associated with the home heating oil USTs. The following information is included in this report:

- Background information;
- Sampling activities and results; and
- A determination of the property status.

1.1 Background Information

The LBMH area is located approximately 3.5 miles west of MCAS Beaufort. The area is approximately 970 acres in size and serves as an enlisted and officer family housing area. The area is configured with single family and duplex residential structures, and includes recreation, open space, and community facilities. The community includes approximately 1,300 housing units, including legacy Capehart style homes and newer duplex style homes. The housing area



is bordered on the west by salt marshes and the Broad River, and to the north, east and south by uplands. Forested areas lie along the northern and northeastern borders.

Capehart style homes within the LBMH area were formerly heated using heating oil stored in USTs at each residence. There were 1,100 Capehart style housing units in the LBMH area. The newer duplex homes within the LBMH area never utilized heating oil tanks. Heating oil has not been used at Laurel Bay since the mid-1980s. As was the accepted practice at the time, USTs were drained, filled with dirt, capped, and left in place when they were removed from service. Residential USTs are not regulated in the State of South Carolina (i.e., there are no federal or state laws governing installation, management, or removal).

In 2007, MCAS Beaufort began a voluntary program to remove the unregulated, residential USTs and conduct sampling activities to determine if, and to what extent, petroleum constituents may have impacted the surrounding environment. MCAS Beaufort coordinated with SCDHEC to develop removal procedures that were consistent with procedural requirements for regulated USTs. All tank removal activities and follow-on actions are conducted in coordination with SCDHEC. To date, all known USTs have been removed from all residential properties within the LBMH area.

1.2 UST Removal and Assessment Process

During the UST removal process, a soil sample was collected from beneath the UST excavations (approximately 4 to 6 feet [ft] below ground surface [bgs]) and analyzed for a predetermined list of constituents of potential concern (COPCs) associated with the petroleum compounds found in home heating oil. These COPCs, derived from the *Quality Assurance Program Plan (QAPP) for the Underground Storage Tank Management Division, Revision 3.1* (SCDHEC, 2016) and the *Underground Storage Tank Assessment Instructions for Permanent Closure and Change-In-Service,* (SCDHEC, 2018), are as follows:

- benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes (BTEX),
- naphthalene, and
- five select polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbon (PAHs): benzo(a)anthracene, benzo(b)fluoranthene, benzo(k)fluoranthene, chrysene and dibenz(a,h)anthracene.

Soil sample results were submitted by MCAS Beaufort to SCDHEC utilizing SCDHEC's UST Assessment Report form. In accordance with SCDHEC's *QAPP for the UST Management*



Summary Report 20 Elderberry Drive (Formerly 401 Elderberry Drive) Laurel Bay Military Housing Area, Marine Corps Air Station Beaufort June 2021

Division (SCDHEC, 2016), the soil screening levels consists of SCDHEC risk-based screening levels (RBSLs). It should be noted that the RBSLs for select PAHs were revised in Revision 2.0 of the QAPP (SCDHEC, 2013) and were revised again in Revision 3.0 (SCDHEC, 2015). The screening levels used for evaluation at each site were those levels that were in effect at the time of reporting and review by SCDHEC.

The results of the soil sampling at each former UST location were used to determine if a potential for groundwater contamination exists (i.e., soil results greater than RBSLs) and subsequently to select properties for follow-up initial groundwater assessment (IGWA) sampling. The results of the IGWA sampling (if necessary) are used to determine the presence or absence of the aforementioned COPCs in groundwater and identify whether former UST locations will require additional delineation of COPCs in groundwater. In order to delineate the extent of impact to groundwater, permanent wells are installed and a sampling program is established for those former UST locations where IGWA sampling has indicated the presence of COPCs in excess of the SCDHEC RBSLs for groundwater. Groundwater analytical results are also compared to the site specific groundwater vapor intrusion screening levels (VISLs) to evaluate the potential for vapor intrusion and the necessity for an investigation associated with this media. A multi-media investigation selection process tree, applicable to the LBMH UST investigations, is presented as Appendix A.

2.0 SAMPLING ACTIVITIES AND RESULTS

The following section presents the sampling activities and associated results for 20 Elderberry Drive (Formerly 401 Elderberry Drive). Details regarding the soil investigation at this site are provided in the *SCDHEC UST Assessment Report – 401 Elderberry Drive* (MCAS Beaufort, 2015). The UST Assessment Report is provided in Appendix B.

2.1 UST Removal and Soil Sampling

On September 25, 2014, a single 280 gallon heating oil UST was removed from the back yard adjacent to the rear patio area at 20 Elderberry Drive (Formerly 401 Elderberry Drive). The former UST location is indicated on Figures 1 and 2 of the UST Assessment Report (Appendix B). The UST was removed and properly disposed of (i.e., shipped offsite for recycling or transported to a landfill). There was no visual evidence (i.e., staining or sheen) of petroleum impact at the time of the UST removal. According to the UST Assessment Report (Appendix B), the depth to the base of the UST was 6'2" bgs and a single soil sample was collected from that



depth. The sample was collected from the fill port side of the former UST to represent a worst case scenario.

Following UST removal, a soil sample was collected from the base of the excavation and shipped to an offsite laboratory for analysis of the petroleum COPCs. Sampling was performed in accordance with applicable South Carolina regulation R.61-92, Part 280 (SCDHEC, 2017) and assessment guidelines.

2.2 Soil Analytical Results

A summary of the laboratory analytical results and SCDHEC RBSLs is presented in Table 1. A copy of the laboratory analytical data report is included in the UST Assessment Report presented in Appendix B. The laboratory analytical data report includes the soil results for the additional PAHs that were analyzed, but do not have associated RBSLs.

The soil sample results were submitted by MCAS Beaufort to SCDHEC utilizing SCDHEC's UST Assessment Report form (Appendix B). The results of the soil sampling at the former UST location were used by MCAS Beaufort, in consultation with SCDHEC, to determine a path forward (i.e., additional sampling or NFA) for the property. The soil results collected from 20 Elderberry Drive (Formerly 401 Elderberry Drive) were less than the SCDHEC RBSLs, which indicated the subsurface was not impacted by COPCs associated with the former UST at concentrations that presented a potential risk to human health and the environment.

3.0 PROPERTY STATUS

Based on the analytical results for soil, SCDHEC made the determination that NFA was required for 20 Elderberry Drive (Formerly 401 Elderberry Drive). This NFA determination was obtained in a letter dated July 1, 2015. SCDHEC's NFA letter is provided in Appendix C.

4.0 REFERENCES

- Marine Corps Air Station Beaufort, 2015. *South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control (SCDHEC) Underground Storage Tank Assessment Report 401 Elderberry Drive, Laurel Bay Military Housing Area*, March 2015.
- South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control Bureau of Land and Waste Management, 2013. *Quality Assurance Program Plan for the Underground Storage Tank Management* Division, *Revision 2.0*, April 2013.



- South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control Bureau of Land and Waste Management, 2015. *Quality Assurance Program Plan for the Underground Storage Tank Management* Division, *Revision 3.0*, May 2015.
- South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control Bureau of Land and Waste Management, 2016. *Quality Assurance Program Plan for the Underground Storage Tank Management* Division, *Revision 3.1*, February 2016.
- South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control Bureau of Land and Waste Management, 2017. *R.61-92, Part 280, Underground Storage Tank Control Regulations*, March 2017.
- South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control Bureau of Land and Waste Management, 2018. Underground Storage Tank Assessment Instructions for Permanent Closure and Change-In-Service, March 2018.

Table



Table 1Laboratory Analytical Results - Soil20 Elderberry Drive (Formerly 401 Elderberry Drive)Laurel Bay Military Housing AreaMarine Corps Air Station BeaufortBeaufort, South Carolina

Constituent	SCDHEC RBSLs ⁽¹⁾	Results Sample Collected 09/25/14								
Volatile Organic Compounds Analyzed by EPA Method 8260B (mg/kg)										
Benzene	0.003	ND								
Ethylbenzene	1.15	ND								
Naphthalene	0.036	ND								
Toluene	0.627	ND								
Xylenes, Total	13.01	ND								
Semivolatile Organic Compounds Anal	yzed by EPA Method 8270D (mg/kg)									
Benzo(a)anthracene	0.66	ND								
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	0.66	ND								
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.66	ND								
Chrysene	0.66	ND								
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	0.66	ND								

Notes:

⁽¹⁾ South Carolina Risk-Based Screening Levels from the Quality Assurance Program Plan for the Underground Storage Tank Management Division, Revision 3.0 and 3.1 (SCDHEC, May 2015 and SCDHEC, February 2016) and the Underground Storage Tank Assessment Guidelines (SCDHEC, February 2006).

Bold font indicates the analyte was detected.

Bold font and shading indicates the concentration exceeds the SCDHEC RBSL.

EPA - United States Environmental Protection Agency

mg/kg - milligram per kilogram


ND - not detected at the reporting limit (or method detection limit if shown on the laboratory report). The laboratory report is provided in Appendix B.

RBSL - Risk-Based Screening Level

SCDHEC - South Carolina Department Of Health and Environmental Control

Appendix A Multi-Media Selection Process for LBMH





Appendix A - Multi-Media Selection Process for LBMH

Appendix B UST Assessment Report



Attachment 1

South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control (SCDHEC) Underground Storage Tank (UST) Assessment Report



Г

SG DMEC - Bureau of Land & Weste Management Submit Completed Form To: UST Program SCDHEC 2600 Bull Street Columbia, South Carolina 29201 Telephone (803) 896-7957

I. OWNERSHIP OF UST (S)

	Commanding Officer		(Craig Ehde)	
Owner Name (Corpor	ation, Individual, Public Agen	cy, Other)		
P.O. Box 55001				
Mailing Address				
Beaufort,	South Car	olina 2	9904-5001	
City	State		Zip Code	
843	228-			Ehde
Area Code	Telephone N	umber	Contact P	erson

II. SITE IDENTIFICATION AND LOCATION

Permit I.D. # Laurel Bay Milita Facility Name or Company	
	ive, Laurel Bay Military Housing Area
Beaufort,	Beaufort
City	County

Attachment 2

III. INSURANCE INFORMATION

Insurance Statement

The petroleum release reported to DHEC on _______ at Permit ID Number ______ may qualify to receive state monies to pay for appropriate site rehabilitation activities. Before participation is allowed in the State Clean-up fund, written confirmation of the existence or non-existence of an environmental insurance policy is required. This section must be completed.

Is there now, or has there ever been an insurance policy or other financial mechanism that covers this UST release? YES____ NO____ (check one)

If you answered **YES** to the above question, please complete the following information:

My policy provider is: ______ The policy deductible is: ______ The policy limit is: ______

If you have this type of insurance, please include a copy of the policy with this report.

IV. REQUEST FOR SUPERB FUNDING

I DO / DO NOT wish to participate in the SUPERB Program. (Circle one.)

V. CERTIFICATION (To be signed by the UST owner)

I certify that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted in this and all attached documents; and that based on my inquiry of those individuals responsible for obtaining this information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate, and complete.

Name (Type or print.)

Signature

To be completed by Notary Public:

Sworn before me this ______ day of _____, 20____

(Name)

Notary Public for the state of ______. Please affix State seal if you are commissioned outside South Carolina

VI. **UST INFORMATION** 401 Elderberry Heating oil A. Product...(ex. Gas, Kerosene)..... Β. Capacity..(ex. 1k, 2k)..... 280 gal С. Age..... Late 1950s Steel D. Construction Material..(ex. Steel, FRP)..... Mid 1980s Month/Year of Last Use..... E٠ 6'2" F. Depth (ft.) To Base of Tank..... No G. Spill Prevention Equipment Y/N..... No **Overfill Prevention Equipment** Y/N..... Η· Removed Method of Closure Removed/Filled..... Ŀ 9/25/2014 J Date Tanks Removed/Filled..... Yes Κ. Visible Corrosion or Pitting Y/N..... Yes Visible Holes Y/N..... L.

M. Method of disposal for any USTs removed from the ground (attach disposal manifests) <u>UST 401Elderberry was removed from the ground and disposed at a</u> Subtitle "D" landfill. See Attachment "A".

N. Method of disposal for any liquid petroleum, sludges, or wastewaters removed from the USTs (attach disposal manifests) UST 401Elderberry had been previously filled with sand by others.

O. If any corrosion, pitting, or holes were observed, describe the location and extent for each UST <u>Corrosion</u>, pitting and holes were found throughout the tank.

VII. PIPING INFORMATION

		401 Elderberry
		Steel
A.	Construction Material(ex. Steel, FRP)	& Copper
B.	Distance from UST to Dispenser	N/A
C.	Number of Dispensers	N/A
D.	Type of System Pressure or Suction	Suction
E.	Was Piping Removed from the Ground? Y/N	NO
F.	Visible Corrosion or Pitting Y/N	Yes
G.	Visible Holes Y/N	No
H.	Age	Late 1950s
I.	If any corrosion, pitting, or holes were observed, de	scribe the location and extent for each piping run.

Corrosion and pitting were found on the surface of the steel vent pipe. Copper supply and return lines were sound.

VIII. BRIEF SITE DESCRIPTION AND HISTORY

The USTs at the residences are constructed of single wall steel and formerly contained fuel oil for heating. These USTs were installed in the late 1950s and last used in the mid 1980s.

	Yes	No	Unk
A. Were any petroleum-stained or contaminated soils found in the UST excavation, soil borings, trenches, or monitoring wells?If yes, indicate depth and location on the site map.		Х	
B. Were any petroleum odors detected in the excavation, soil borings, trenches, or monitoring wells?		х	
If yes, indicate location on site map and describe the odor (strong, mild, etc.)			
C. Was water present in the UST excavation, soil borings, or trenches?		х	
If yes, how far below land surface (indicate location and depth)?			
D. Did contaminated soils remain stockpiled on site after closure?		Х	
If yes, indicate the stockpile location on the site map.			
Name of DHEC representative authorizing soil removal:			
E. Was a petroleum sheen or free product detected on any excavation or boring waters?		x	
If yes, indicate location and thickness.			

IX. SITE CONDITIONS

X. SAMPLE INFORMATION

A. SCDHEC Lab Certification Number 84009

Β.

Sample #	Location	Sample Type (Soil/Water)	Soil Type (Sand/Clay)	Depth*	Date/Time of Collection	Collected by	OVA #
401 Elderb'y	Excav at fill end	Soil	Sandy	6'2"	9/25/14 1245 hrs	P. Shaw	
8							
9							
10							
11				-			
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							

* = Depth Below the Surrounding Land Surface

XI. SAMPLING METHODOLOGY

Provide a detailed description of the methods used to collect <u>and</u> store the samples. Also include the preservative used for each sample. Please use the space provided below.

Sampling was performed in accordance with SC DHEC R.61-92 Part 280 and SC DHEC Assessment Guidelines. Sample containers were prepared by the testing laboratory. The grab method was utilized to fill the sample containers leaving as little head space as possible and immediately capped. Soil samples were extracted from area below tank. The samples were marked, logged, and immediately placed in a sample cooler packed with ice to maintain an approximate temperature of 4 degrees Centigrade. Tools were thoroughly cleaned and decontaminated with the seven step decon process after each use. The samples remained in custody of SBG-EEG, Inc. until they were transferred to Test America Incorporated for analysis as documented in the Chain of Custody Record.


XII. RECEPTORS


		Yes	No
A.	Are there any lakes, ponds, streams, or wetlands located within 1000 feet of the UST system?	*X	
	*Stormwater draina	ge ca	nal
	If yes, indicate type of receptor, distance, and direction on site map.		
B.	Are there any public, private, or irrigation water supply wells within 1000 feet of the UST system?		Х
	If yes, indicate type of well, distance, and direction on site map.		
C.	Are there any underground structures (e.g., basements) Located within 100 feet of the UST system?		Х
	If yes, indicate type of structure, distance, and direction on site map.		
D.	Are there any underground utilities (e.g., telephone, electricity, gas, water, sewer, storm drain) located within 100 feet of the UST system that could potentially come in contact with the contamination? *Sewer, water, electric	*X	
	cable, fiber optic & ge If yes, indicate the type of utility, distance, and direction on the site map.	-	rmal
E.	Has contaminated soil been identified at a depth less than 3 feet below land surface in an area that is not capped by asphalt or concrete?		х
	If yes, indicate the area of contaminated soil on the site map.		

XIII. SITE MAP

You must supply a <u>scaled</u> site map. It should include all buildings, road names, utilities, tank and dispenser island locations, labeled sample locations, extent of excavation, and any other pertinent information.

(Attach Site Map Here)







Picture 1: Location of UST 401Elderberry.



Picture 2: UST 401Elderberry excavation.



Picture 3: Site after completion of work.

XIV. SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS RESULTS

Enter the soil analytical data for each soil boring for all COC in the table below and on the following page.

·····	1	<u> </u>	1	 	
CoC UST	401Elderber	сy			
Benzene	ND				
Toluene	ND				
Ethylbenzene	ND				
Xylenes	ND				
Naphthalene	ND		ł		
Benzo (a) anthracene	ND				
Benzo (b) fluoranthene	ND			 	
Benzo (k) fluoranthene	ND				
Chrysene	ND				
Dibenz (a, h) anthracene	ND			 	
TPH (EPA 3550)					
G		·····		 	
CoC					
Benzene					
Toluene					
Ethylbenzene					
Xylenes					
Naphthalene				 	
Benzo (a) anthracene					
Benzo (b) fluoranthene					
Benzo (k) fluoranthene					
Chrysene					
Dibenz (a, h) anthracene					
TPH (EPA 3550)					

SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS RESULTS (cont'd) Enter the ground water analytical data for each sample for all CoC in the table below. If free product is present, indicate the measured thickness to the nearest 0.01 feet.

CoC	RBSL (µg/l)	W-1	W-2	W -3	W -4
Free Product Thickness	None				
Benzene	5				
Toluene	1,000				
Ethylbenzene	700				
Xylenes	10,000				
Total BTEX	N/A				
МТВЕ	40				
Naphthalene	25				
Benzo (a) anthracene	10				
Benzo (b) flouranthene	10				
Benzo (k) flouranthene	10				
Chrysene	10				
Dibenz (a, h) anthracene	10				
EDB	.05				
1,2-DCA	5				
Lead	Site specific				

XV. ANALYTICAL RESULTS

You must submit the laboratory report and chain-of-custody form for the samples. These samples must be analyzed by a South Carolina certified laboratory.

(Attach Certified Analytical Results and Chain-of-Custody Here) (Please see Form #4)



THE LEADER IN ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING

ANALYTICAL REPORT

TestAmerica Laboratories, Inc. TestAmerica Nashville 2960 Foster Creighton Drive Nashville, TN 37204 Tel: (615)726-0177

TestAmerica Job ID: 490-62548-1 Client Project/Site: Laurel Bay Housing Project

For:

Small Business Group Inc. 10179 Highway 78 Ladson, South Carolina 29456

Attn: Tom McElwee

Kuth Hay

Authorized for release by: 10/13/2014 11:32:07 AM

Ken Hayes, Project Manager II (615)301-5035 ken.hayes@testamericainc.com

The test results in this report meet all 2003 NELAC and 2009 TNI requirements for accredited parameters, exceptions are noted in this report. This report may not be reproduced except in full, and with written approval from the laboratory. For questions please contact the Project Manager at the e-mail address or telephone number listed on this page.

This report has been electronically signed and authorized by the signatory. Electronic signature is intended to be the legally binding equivalent of a traditionally handwritten signature.

Results relate only to the items tested and the sample(s) as received by the laboratory.

LINKS





The

Expert

Visit us at: www.testamericainc.com

Table of Contents

Cover Page	
Table of Contents 2	
Sample Summary 3	
Case Narrative 4	
Definitions	
Client Sample Results 6	
QC Sample Results 8	
QC Association 1	3
Chronicle	4
Method Summary 1	5
Certification Summary 1	6
Chain of Custody 1	
Receipt Checklists 1	9

Client: Small Business Group Inc. Project/Site: Laurel Bay Housing Project TestAmerica Job ID: 490-62548-1

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Matrix	Collected	Received
490-62548-1	252 Beech	Soil	09/24/14 14:15	09/30/14 08:40
490-62548-2	401 Elderbrerry	Soil	09/25/14 12:45	09/30/14 08:40

TestAmerica Nashville

Job ID: 490-62548-1

Laboratory: TestAmerica Nashville

Narrative

Job Narrative 490-62548-1

Comments

No additional comments.

Receipt

The samples were received on 9/30/2014 8:40 AM; the samples arrived in good condition, properly preserved and, where required, on ice. The temperature of the cooler at receipt was 1.6° C.

GC/MS VOA

No analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described in the Definitions/Glossary page.

GC/MS Semi VOA

No analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described in the Definitions/Glossary page.

Organic Prep

No analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described in the Definitions/Glossary page.

VOA Prep

No analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described in the Definitions/Glossary page.

TestAmerica Job ID: 490-62548-1

Client: Small Business Group Inc. Project/Site: Laurel Bay Housing Project

Qualifiers

GC/MS VOA

Qualifier	Qualifier Description
F1	MS and/or MSD Recovery exceeds the control limits
F2	MS/MSD RPD exceeds control limits

Glossary

Abbreviation	These commonly used abbreviations may or may not be present in this report.
п	Listed under the "D" column to designate that the result is reported on a dry weight basis
%R	Percent Recovery
CFL	Contains Free Liquid
CNF	Contains no Free Liquid
DER	Duplicate error ratio (normalized absolute difference)
Dil Fac	Dilution Factor
DL, RA, RE, IN	Indicates a Dilution, Re-analysis, Re-extraction, or additional Initial metals/anion analysis of the sample
DLC	Decision level concentration
MDA	Minimum detectable activity
EDL	Estimated Detection Limit
MDC	Minimum detectable concentration
MDL	Method Detection Limit
ML	Minimum Level (Dioxin)
NC	Not Calculated
ND	Not detected at the reporting limit (or MDL or EDL if shown)
PQL	Practical Quantitation Limit
QC	Quality Control
RER	Relative error ratio
RL	Reporting Limit or Requested Limit (Radiochemistry)
RPD	Relative Percent Difference, a measure of the relative difference between two points
TEF	Toxicity Equivalent Factor (Dioxin)
TEQ	Toxicity Equivalent Quotient (Dioxin)

TestAmerica Nashville

Client: Small Business Group Inc. Project/Site: Laurel Bay Housing Project

Client Sample ID: 252 Beech

Date Collected: 09/24/14 14:15 Date Received: 09/30/14 08:40

Lab Sample ID: 490-62548-1 Matrix: Soil

Percent Solids: 82.8

Method: 8260B - Volatile Orga	nic Compounds	(GC/MS)								17:
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac	1 3
Benzene	ND		0.00197	0.000661	mg/Kg	13	10/01/14 08:15	10/08/14 02:59	1	
Ethylbenzene	ND		0.00197	0.000661	mg/Kg	D	10/01/14 08:15	10/08/14 02:59	1	6
Naphthalene	ND		0.00493	0.00168	mg/Kg	D.	10/01/14 08:15	10/08/14 02:59	1	-
Toluene	ND		0.00197	0.000730	mg/Kg	23	10/01/14 08:15	10/08/14 02:59	1	
Xylenes, Total	ND		0.00296	0.000661	mg/Kg	11	10/01/14 08:15	10/08/14 02:59	1	-
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac	
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	112		70 - 130				10/01/14 08:15	10/08/14 02:59	1	10
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	110		70 - 130				10/01/14 08:15	10/08/14 02:59	1	
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	108		70 - 130				10/01/14 08:15	10/08/14 02:59	1	
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	100		70 - 130				10/01/14 08:15	10/08/14 02:59	1	
Method: 8270D - Semivolatile	Organic Compou	nds (GC/MS	5)							
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac	
Acenaphthene	ND		0,0612	0.00913	mg/Kg	1	10/01/14 17:42	10/02/14 21:36	1	
Acenaphthylene	ND		0.0612	0.00822	mg/Kg	52	10/01/14 17:42	10/02/14 21:36	1	

Method: 8270D - Semivolatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Acenaphthene	ND		0.0612	0.00913	mg/Kg	-	10/01/14 17:42	10/02/14 21:36	1
Acenaphthylene	ND		0.0612	0.00822	mg/Kg	17	10/01/14 17:42	10/02/14 21:36	1
Anthracene	ND		0.0612	0.00822	mg/Kg	33	10/01/14 17:42	10/02/14 21:36	1
Benzo[a]anthracene	ND		0.0612	0.0137	mg/Kg	ü	10/01/14 17:42	10/02/14 21:36	1
Benzo[a]pyrene	ND		0.0612	0.0110	mg/Kg	11	10/01/14 17:42	10/02/14 21:36	1
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	ND		0.0612	0.0110	mg/Kg	ti.	10/01/14 17:42	10/02/14 21:36	1
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	ND		0.0612	0.00822	mg/Kg	10	10/01/14 17:42	10/02/14 21:36	1
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	ND		0.0612	0.0128	mg/Kg	¹⁰	10/01/14 17:42	10/02/14 21:36	1
1-Methylnaphthalene	ND		0.0612	0.0128	mg/Kg	23	10/01/14 17:42	10/02/14 21:36	1
Pyrene	ND		0.0612	0.0110	mg/Kg	Ø	10/01/14 17:42	10/02/14 21:36	1
Phenanthrene	ND		0.0612	0.00822	mg/Kg	21	10/01/14 17:42	10/02/14 21:36	1
Chrysene	ND		0.0612	0.00822	mg/Kg	13	10/01/14 17:42	10/02/14 21:36	1
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND		0.0612	0.00639	mg/Kg	П	10/01/14 17:42	10/02/14 21:36	1
Fluoranthene	ND		0.0612	0.00822	mg/Kg	a	10/01/14 17:42	10/02/14 21:36	1
Fluorene	ND		0.0612	0.0110	mg/Kg	12	10/01/14 17:42	10/02/14 21:36	1
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	ND		0.0612	0.00913	mg/Kg	53	10/01/14 17:42	10/02/14 21:36	1
Naphthalene	ND		0.0612	0.00822	mg/Kg	21	10/01/14 17:42	10/02/14 21:36	1
2-Methylnaphthalene	ND		0.0612	0.0146	mg/Kg	11	10/01/14 17:42	10/02/14 21:36	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
2-Fluorobiphenyl (Surr)	46		29 - 120				10/01/14 17:42	10/02/14 21:36	1
Terphenyl-d14 (Surr)	60		13 - 120				10/01/14 17:42	10/02/14 21:36	1
Nitrobenzene-d5 (Surr)	42		27 - 120				10/01/14 17:42	10/02/14 21:36	1
General Chemistry									
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	RL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Percent Solids	83		0.10	0.10	%			10/01/14 10:08	1

Client Sample ID: 401 Elderbrerry

Date Collected: 09/25/14 12:45 Date Received: 09/30/14 08:40

Lab Sample ID: 490-62548-2 Matrix: Soil

Percent Solids: 94.1

5 6 7

8 9 10

Method: 8260B - Volatile Orga	nic Compounds	(GC/MS)							
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Benzene	ND		0.00219	0.000732	mg/Kg	П	10/01/14 08:15	10/08/14 03:28	1
Ethylbenzene	ND		0.00219	0.000732	mg/Kg	12	10/01/14 08:15	10/08/14 03:28	1
Naphthalene	ND		0.00547	0.00186	mg/Kg	25	10/01/14 08:15	10/08/14 03:28	1
Toluene	ND		0.00219	0.000809	mg/Kg	13	10/01/14 08:15	10/08/14 03:28	1
Xylenes, Total	ND		0.00328	0.000732	mg/Kg	Ш	10/01/14 08:15	10/08/14 03:28	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	119		70 - 130				10/01/14 08:15	10/08/14 03:28	1
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	112		70 - 130				10/01/14 08:15	10/08/14 03:28	1
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	112		70 - 130				10/01/14 08:15	10/08/14 03:28	1
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	100		70 - 130				10/01/14 08:15	10/08/14 03:28	1

Method: 8270D - Semivolatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Acenaphthene	ND		0.0641	0.00957	mg/Kg	35	10/01/14 17:42	10/02/14 21:58	1
Acenaphthylene	ND		0.0641	0.00861	mg/Kg	53	10/01/14 17:42	10/02/14 21:58	1
Anthracene	ND		0.0641	0.00861	mg/Kg	12	10/01/14 17:42	10/02/14 21:58	1
Benzo[a]anthracene	ND		0.0641	0.0144	mg/Kg	51	10/01/14 17:42	10/02/14 21:58	1
Benzo[a]pyrene	ND		0.0641	0.0115	mg/Kg	11	10/01/14 17:42	10/02/14 21:58	1
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	ND		0.0641	0.0115	mg/Kg	a	10/01/14 17:42	10/02/14 21:58	1
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	ND		0.0641	0.00861	mg/Kg	Ľi.	10/01/14 17:42	10/02/14 21:58	1
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	ND		0.0641	0.0134	mg/Kg	12	10/01/14 17:42	10/02/14 21:58	1
1-Methylnaphthalene	ND		0.0641	0.0134	mg/Kg	121	10/01/14 17:42	10/02/14 21:58	1
Pyrene	ND		0.0641	0.0115	mg/Kg	12	10/01/14 17:42	10/02/14 21:58	1
Phenanthrene	ND		0.0641	0.00861	mg/Kg	a	10/01/14 17:42	10/02/14 21:58	1
Chrysene	ND		0.0641	0.00861	mg/Kg	33	10/01/14 17:42	10/02/14 21:58	1
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND		0.0641	0.00670	mg/Kg	12	10/01/14 17:42	10/02/14 21:58	1
Fluoranthene	ND		0.0641	0.00861	mg/Kg	Ø	10/01/14 17:42	10/02/14 21:58	1
Fluorene	ND		0.0641	0.0115	mg/Kg	12	10/01/14 17:42	10/02/14 21:58	1
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	ND		0.0641	0.00957	mg/Kg	5,2	10/01/14 17:42	10/02/14 21:58	1
Naphthalene	ND		0.0641	0.00861	mg/Kg	2'3	10/01/14 17:42	10/02/14 21:58	1
2-Methylnaphthalene	ND		0.0641	0.0153	mg/Kg		10/01/14 17:42	10/02/14 21:58	1
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
2-Fluorobiphenyl (Surr)	32		29 - 120				10/01/14 17:42	10/02/14 21:58	1
Terphenyl-d14 (Surr)	35		13 - 120				10/01/14 17:42	10/02/14 21:58	1
Nitrobenzene-d5 (Surr)	32		27 - 120				10/01/14 17:42	10/02/14 21:58	1
General Chemistry									
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	RL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Percent Solids	94		0.10	0.10	%			10/01/14 10:08	1

Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Lab Sample ID: 490-62524-B	-1-D MS							Client	Sample ID: Matr	ix Spike
Matrix: Solid									Prep Type: 1	otal/NA
Analysis Batch: 196073									Prep Batch:	194220
	Sample	Sample	Spike	MS	MS				%Rec.	
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	Added	Result	Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	Limits	
Benzene	0.00374		0.0539	0.04091		mg/Kg	32	69	31 - 143	
Ethylbenzene	0.00125	J	0.0539	0.05851		mg/Kg	Ω	106	23 - 161	
Naphthalene	ND		0.0539	0.1078	F1	mg/Kg	ß	200	10 - 176	
Toluene	0.00178	J	0.0539	0.04985		mg/Kg	x	89	30 - 155	
Xylenes, Total	0.00938		0.162	0.1679		mg/Kg	12	98	25 - 162	
	MS	MS								
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits							
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	94		70 - 130							
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	117		70 - 130							
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	93		70 - 130							
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	104		70 - 130							

Lab Sample ID: 490-62524-B-1-E MSD Matrix: Solid Analysis Batch: 196073

Analysis Batch: 196073									Prep I	Batch: 1	94220
	Sample	Sample	Spike	MSD	MSD				%Rec.		RPD
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	Added	Result	Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	Limits	RPD	Limit
Benzene	0.00374		0.0580	0.04582		mg/Kg	ä	73	31 - 143	11	50
Ethylbenzene	0.00125	J	0.0580	0.05310		mg/Kg	12	89	23 - 161	10	50
Naphthalene	ND		0.0580	0.04971	F2	mg/Kg	Tł.	86	10 - 176	74	50
Toluene	0.00178	J	0.0580	0.04970		mg/Kg	Ø	83	30 - 155	0	50
Xylenes, Total	0.00938		0.174	0.1374		mg/Kg	α	74	25 - 162	20	50
	MSD	MSD									
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits								
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	90		70 - 130								
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	115		70 - 130								
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	91		70 - 130								

Lab Sample ID: MB 490-196073/8 Matrix: Solid

Toluene-d8 (Surr)

103

Analysis Batch: 196073 MB MB Analyte Qualifier RL MDL Unit D Prepared Analyzed Result 0.00200 0.000670 mg/Kg 10/08/14 02:30 ND Benzene 10/08/14 02:30 Ethylbenzene ND 0.00200 0.000670 mg/Kg ND 0.00500 0.00170 mg/Kg 10/08/14 02:30 Naphthalene ND 0.00200 0.000740 mg/Kg 10/08/14 02:30 Toluene 0.00300 0.000670 mg/Kg 10/08/14 02:30 ND Xylenes, Total MB MB Qualifier Limits Analyzed Surrogate %Recovery Prepared 70 - 130 10/08/14 02:30 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) 115 4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr) 111 70.130 10/08/14 02:30 Dibromofluoromethane (Surr) 109 70 - 130 10/08/14 02:30 70 - 130 10/08/14 02:30 Toluene-d8 (Surr) 99

70 - 130

7

TestAmerica Job ID: 490-62548-1

Client Sample ID: Matrix Spike Duplicate

Client Sample ID: Method Blank

Prep Type: Total/NA

Dil Fac

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

Dil Fac

Prep Type: Total/NA

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup

Prep Type: Total/NA

Prep Type: Total/NA

Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: LCS 490-196073/4 Matrix: Solid Analysis Batch: 196073

		Spike	LCS	LCS				%Rec.	
Analyte		Added	Result	Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	Limits	
Benzene		0.0500	0.04491		mg/Kg		90	75 - 127	
Ethylbenzene		0.0500	0.05117		mg/Kg		102	80 - 134	
Naphthalene		0.0500	0.04622		mg/Kg		92	69 - 150	
Toluene		0.0500	0.04683		mg/Kg		94	80 - 132	
Xylenes, Total		0.150	0.1364		mg/Kg		91	80 - 137	
	LCS LCS								

Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	93		70 - 130
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	104		70 - 130
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	93		70 - 130
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	104		70 - 130

Lab Sample ID: LCSD 490-196073/5 Matrix: Solid Analysis Batch: 196073

Analysis suton record			Spike	LCSD	LCSD				%Rec.		RPD
Analyte			Added	Result	Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	Limits	RPD	Limit
Benzene			0.0500	0.04582		mg/Kg		92	75 - 127	2	50
Ethylbenzene			0.0500	0.05131		mg/Kg		103	80 - 134	0	50
Naphthalene			0.0500	0.04507		mg/Kg		90	69 - 150	3	50
Toluene			0.0500	0.04779		mg/Kg		96	80 - 132	2	50
Xylenes, Total			0.150	0.1370		mg/Kg		91	80 - 137	0	50
	LCSD	LCSD									
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits								
1.2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	04		70 130								

1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr)	94	70 - 130
4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr)	104	70 - 130
Dibromofluoromethane (Surr)	95	70 - 130
Toluene-d8 (Surr)	104	70 - 130

Method: 8270D - Semivolatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Lab Sample ID: MB 490-194615/1-A Matrix: Solid Analysis Batch: 194722

induiting of the									
Analysis Batch: 194722								Prep Batch:	194615
and a state of the state of the	MB	MB							
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac
Acenaphthene	ND		0.0670	0.0100	mg/Kg		10/01/14 17:42	10/02/14 17:05	1
Acenaphthylene	ND		0.0670	0.00900	mg/Kg		10/01/14 17:42	10/02/14 17:05	1
Anthracene	ND		0.0670	0.00900	mg/Kg		10/01/14 17:42	10/02/14 17:05	1
Benzo[a]anthracene	ND		0.0670	0.0150	mg/Kg		10/01/14 17:42	10/02/14 17:05	1
Benzo[a]pyrene	ND		0.0670	0.0120	mg/Kg		10/01/14 17:42	10/02/14 17:05	1
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	ND		0.0670	0.0120	mg/Kg		10/01/14 17:42	10/02/14 17:05	1
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	ND		0.0670	0.00900	mg/Kg		10/01/14 17:42	10/02/14 17:05	1
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	ND		0.0670	0.0140	mg/Kg		10/01/14 17:42	10/02/14 17:05	1
1-Methylnaphthalene	ND		0.0670	0.0140	mg/Kg		10/01/14 17:42	10/02/14 17:05	1
Pyrene	ND		0.0670	0.0120	mg/Kg		10/01/14 17:42	10/02/14 17:05	1
Phenanthrene	ND		0.0670	0.00900	mg/Kg		10/01/14 17:42	10/02/14 17:05	1

TestAmerica Nashville

Client Sample ID: Method Blank

Prep Type: Total/NA

Method: 8270D - Semivolatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: MB 490-194615/1-A Matrix: Solid Analysis Batch: 194722

Analysis Batch: 194722	100	and a						Prep Batch:	194615	5
		MB					2	2.4.4.4	-	
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	D	Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac	
Chrysene	ND		0.0670	0.00900	mg/Kg		10/01/14 17:42	10/02/14 17:05	1	
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND		0.0670	0.00700	mg/Kg		10/01/14 17:42	10/02/14 17:05	1	
Fluoranthene	ND		0.0670	0.00900	mg/Kg		10/01/14 17:42	10/02/14 17:05	1	7
Fluorene	ND		0.0670	0.0120	mg/Kg		10/01/14 17:42	10/02/14 17:05	1	-
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	ND		0.0670	0.0100	mg/Kg		10/01/14 17:42	10/02/14 17:05	1	- 8
Naphthalene	ND		0.0670	0.00900	mg/Kg		10/01/14 17:42	10/02/14 17:05	1	
2-Methylnaphthalene	ND		0.0670	0.0160	mg/Kg		10/01/14 17:42	10/02/14 17:05	1	9
	МВ	MB								111
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits				Prepared	Analyzed	Dil Fac	
2-Fluorobiphenyl (Surr)	70		29 - 120				10/01/14 17:42	10/02/14 17:05	1	
Terphenyl-d14 (Surr)	74		13 - 120				10/01/14 17:42	10/02/14 17:05	1	
Nitrobenzene-d5 (Surr)	70		27 - 120				10/01/14 17:42	10/02/14 17:05	1	-

Lab Sample ID: LCS 490-194615/2-A Matrix: Solid Analysis Batch: 194722

	Spike	LCS	LCS				%Rec.	
Analyte	Added	Result	Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	Limits	
Acenaphthylene	1.67	1.196		mg/Kg		72	38 - 120	
Anthracene	1.67	1.245		mg/Kg		75	46 - 124	
Benzo[a]anthracene	1.67	1.220		mg/Kg		73	45 - 120	
Benzo[a]pyrene	1.67	1.244		mg/Kg		75	45 - 120	
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	1.67	1.273		mg/Kg		76	42 - 120	
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	1.67	1.270		mg/Kg		76	38 - 120	
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	1.67	1.163		mg/Kg		70	42 - 120	
1-Methylnaphthalene	1.67	1.151		mg/Kg		69	32 - 120	
Pyrene	1.67	1.211		mg/Kg		73	43 - 120	
Phenanthrene	1.67	1.195		mg/Kg		72	45 - 120	
Chrysene	1.67	1.283		mg/Kg		77	43 - 120	
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	1.67	1.256		mg/Kg		75	32 - 128	
Fluoranthene	1.67	1.223		mg/Kg		73	46 - 120	
Fluorene	1.67	1.242		mg/Kg		75	42 - 120	
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	1.67	1.233		mg/Kg		74	41 - 121	
Naphthalene	1.67	1.202		mg/Kg		72	32 - 120	
2-Methylnaphthalene	1.67	1.142		mg/Kg		69	28 - 120	

	LCS	LCS	
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits
2-Fluorobiphenyl (Surr)	66		29 - 120
Terphenyl-d14 (Surr)	73		13 - 120
Nitrobenzene-d5 (Surr)	68		27 - 120

Lab Sample ID: 240-42495-C-1-B MS Matrix: Solid Analysis Batch: 194722

Analysis Batch: 194722									Prep Batch: 194615
the second second	Sample	Sample	Spike	MS	MS				%Rec.
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	Added	Result	Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	Limits
Acenaphthylene	ND		2.21	1.460		mg/Kg	Π.	66	25 - 120
Anthracene	ND		2.21	1.531		mg/Kg	п	69	28 - 125

TestAmerica Nashville

Prep Type: Total/NA

Client Sample ID: Matrix Spike

TestAmerica Job ID: 490-62548-1

Client Sample ID: Method Blank

Prep Type: Total/NA Prep Batch: 194615

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample

Prep Type: Total/NA Prep Batch: 194615

Client Sample ID: Matrix Spike

Client Sample ID: Matrix Spike Duplicate

Prep Type: Total/NA

Prep Type: Total/NA

Method: 8270D - Semivolatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) (Continued)

63

Lab Sample ID: 240-42495-C-1-B MS Matrix: Solid Analysis Batch: 194722

indential o'o'nd									rich ilber i eranitar	· · ·
Analysis Batch: 194722	2010	1-315	1.6.	1.17	12				Prep Batch: 194615	
	Sample	Sample	Spike	MS	MS				%Rec.	
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	Added	Result	Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	Limits	
Benzo[a]anthracene	ND		2.21	1.557		mg/Kg	12	70	23 - 120	
Benzo[a]pyrene	ND		2.21	1.533		mg/Kg	11	69	15 - 128	
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	ND		2.21	1.543		mg/Kg	22	70	12 - 133	
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	ND		2.21	1.506		mg/Kg	12	68	22 - 120	
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	ND		2.21	1.572		mg/Kg	32	71	28 - 120	
1-Methylnaphthalene	ND		2.21	1.406		mg/Kg	ti.	63	10 - 120	
Pyrene	ND		2.21	1.526		mg/Kg	52	69	20 - 123	
Phenanthrene	ND		2.21	1.501		mg/Kg	C2	68	21 - 122	
Chrysene	ND		2.21	1.488		mg/Kg	ζξ.	67	20 - 120	
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND		2.21	1.542		mg/Kg	12	70	12 - 128	
Fluoranthene	ND		2.21	1.549		mg/Kg	12	70	10 - 143	
Fluorene	ND		2.21	1.499		mg/Kg	n	68	20 - 120	
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	ND		2.21	1.502		mg/Kg	12	68	22 - 121	
Naphthalene	ND		2.21	1.436		mg/Kg	12	65	10 - 120	
2-Methylnaphthalene	ND		2.21	1.397		mg/Kg	12	63	13 - 120	
	MS	MS								
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits							
2-Fluorobiphenyl (Surr)	61		29 - 120							
Terphenyl-d14 (Surr)	69		13 - 120							

27 - 120

Lab Sample ID: 240-42495-C-1-C MSD Matrix: Solid

Nitrobenzene-d5 (Surr)

Analysis Batch: 194722									Prep	Batch: 1	94615
	Sample	Sample	Spike	MSD	MSD				%Rec.		RPD
Analyte	Result	Qualifier	Added	Result	Qualifier	Unit	D	%Rec	Limits	RPD	Limit
Acenaphthylene	ND		2.22	1.371		mg/Kg	12	62	25 - 120	6	50
Anthracene	ND		2.22	1.442		mg/Kg	п	65	28 - 125	6	49
Benzo[a]anthracene	ND		2.22	1.450		mg/Kg	12	65	23 - 120	7	50
Benzo[a]pyrene	ND		2.22	1.422		mg/Kg	12	64	15 - 128	8	50
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	ND		2.22	1.409		mg/Kg	R	63	12 - 133	9	50
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	ND		2.22	1.378		mg/Kg	121	62	22 - 120	9	50
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	ND		2.22	1.410		mg/Kg	12	63	28 - 120	11	45
1-Methylnaphthalene	ND		2.22	1.345		mg/Kg	12	60	10 - 120	4	50
Pyrene	ND		2.22	1.422		mg/Kg	ά	64	20 - 123	7	50
Phenanthrene	ND		2.22	1.416		mg/Kg	,tr	64	21 - 122	6	50
Chrysene	ND		2.22	1.375		mg/Kg	Ω	62	20 - 120	8	49
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND		2.22	1.414		mg/Kg	12	64	12 - 128	9	50
Fluoranthene	ND		2.22	1.455		mg/Kg	n	65	10 - 143	6	50
Fluorene	ND		2.22	1.377		mg/Kg	335	62	20 - 120	8	50
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	ND		2.22	1.388		mg/Kg	Ω	62	22 - 121	8	50
Naphthalene	ND		2.22	1.367		mg/Kg	Ø	61	10 - 120	5	50
2-Methylnaphthalene	ND		2.22	1.351		mg/Kg	μ.	61	13 - 120	3	50
	MSD	MSD									

Surray and			60.00
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits
2-Fluorobiphenyl (Surr)	58		29 - 120
Terphenyl-d14 (Surr)	65		13 - 120

TestAmerica Nashville

Method: 8270D - Semivolatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: 240-42495-C-1-C	MSD					C	lient Sar	nple ID: Matrix Spike Dup	olicate
Matrix: Solid								Prep Type: Tot	tal/NA
Analysis Batch: 194722								Prep Batch: 1	94615
	MSD	MSD							
Surrogate	%Recovery	Qualifier	Limits						
Nitrobenzene-d5 (Surr)	59		27 - 120						
lethod: Moisture - Percent	Moisture								
Lab Sample ID: 490-62548-1 DU								Client Sample ID: 252 I	Beech
Matrix: Soil								Prep Type: Tot	tal/NA
Analysis Batch: 194433									
and the second sec	Sample	Sample		DU	DU				RPD
Analyte	Result	Qualifier		Result	Qualifier	Unit	D	RPD	Limit
Percent Solids	83			83		%		0.6	20

TestAmerica Nashville

QC Association Summary

Client: Small Business Group Inc. Project/Site: Laurel Bay Housing Project TestAmerica Job ID: 490-62548-1

GC/MS	VOA

Prep Batch: 194220

Prep Batch: 194220					
Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
490-62524-B-1-D MS	Matrix Spike	Total/NA	Solid	5030C	
490-62524-B-1-E MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate	Total/NA	Solid	5030C	
Prep Batch: 194375					
Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
490-62548-1	252 Beech	Total/NA	Soil	5035	
490-62548-2	401 Elderbrerry	Total/NA	Soil	5035	
Analysis Batch: 196073	1				
Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
490-62524-B-1-D MS	Matrix Spike	Total/NA	Solid	8260B	194220
490-62524-B-1-E MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate	Total/NA	Solid	8260B	194220
490-62548-1	252 Beech	Total/NA	Soil	8260B	194375
490-62548-2	401 Elderbrerry	Total/NA	Soil	8260B	194375
LCS 490-196073/4	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Solid	8260B	
LCSD 490-196073/5	Lab Control Sample Dup	Total/NA	Solid	8260B	
MB 490-196073/8	Method Blank	Total/NA	Solid	8260B	
GC/MS Semi VOA					
Prep Batch: 194615					
Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
240-42495-C-1-B MS	Matrix Spike	Total/NA	Solid	3550C	
240-42495-C-1-C MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate	Total/NA	Solid	3550C	
490-62548-1	252 Beech	Total/NA	Soil	3550C	
490-62548-2	401 Elderbrerry	Total/NA	Soil	3550C	
LCS 490-194615/2-A	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Solid	3550C	
MB 490-194615/1-A	Method Blank	Total/NA	Solid	3550C	
Analysis Batch: 194722	1				
Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
240-42495-C-1-B MS	Matrix Spike	Total/NA	Solid	8270D	194615
240-42495-C-1-C MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate	Total/NA	Solid	8270D	194615
490-62548-1	252 Beech	Total/NA	Soil	8270D	194615
490-62548-2	401 Elderbrerry	Total/NA	Soil	8270D	194615
LCS 490-194615/2-A	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Solid	8270D	194615
MB 490-194615/1-A	Method Blank	Total/NA	Solid	8270D	194615
General Chemistry					
Analysis Batch: 194433					
Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
490-62548-1	252 Beech	Total/NA	Soil	Moisture	Frep Batch
	252 Beech	Total/NA			
490-62548-1 DU			Soil	Moisture	
490-62548-2	401 Elderbrerry	Total/NA	Soil	Moisture	

Lab Sample ID: 490-62548-1

Matrix: Soil Percent Solids: 82.8

Client Sample ID: 252 Beech Date Collected: 09/24/14 14:15 Date Received: 09/30/14 08:40

Prep Type	Batch Type	Batch Method	Run	Dil Factor	Initial Amount	Final Amount	Batch Number	Prepared or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Prep	5035			6.12 g	5.0 mL	194375	10/01/14 08:15	JLP	TAL NSH
Total/NA	Analysis	8260B		1	6.12 g	5.0 mL	196073	10/08/14 02:59	ккк	TAL NSH
Total/NA	Prep	3550C			39.70 g	1.00 mL	194615	10/01/14 17:42	RMS	TAL NSH
Total/NA	Analysis	8270D		1	39.70 g	1.00 mL	194722	10/02/14 21:36	ккн	TAL NSH
Total/NA	Analysis	Moisture		1			194433	10/01/14 10:08	RRS	TAL NSH

Client Sample ID: 401 Elderbrerry

Date Collected: 09/25/14 12:45 Date Received: 09/30/14 08:40

	Batch	Batch		Dil	Initial	Final	Batch	Prepared		
Prep Type	Туре	Method	Run	Factor	Amount	Amount	Number	or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Prep	5035			4.861 g	5.0 mL	194375	10/01/14 08:15	JLP	TAL NSH
Total/NA	Analysis	8260B		1	4.861 g	5.0 mL	196073	10/08/14 03:28	KKK	TAL NSH
Total/NA	Prep	3550C			33.32 g	1.00 mL	194615	10/01/14 17:42	RMS	TAL NSH
Total/NA	Analysis	8270D		1	33.32 g	1.00 mL	194722	10/02/14 21:58	ККН	TAL NSH
Total/NA	Analysis	Moisture		1			194433	10/01/14 10:08	RRS	TAL NSH

Laboratory References:

TAL NSH = TestAmerica Nashville, 2960 Foster Creighton Drive, Nashville, TN 37204, TEL (615)726-0177

TestAmerica Job ID: 490-62548-1

Method	Method Description	Protocol	Laboratory
3260B	Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)	SW846	TAL NSH
270D	Semivolatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)	SW846	TAL NSH
Moisture	Percent Moisture	EPA	TAL NSH

Protocol References:

EPA = US Environmental Protection Agency

SW846 = "Test Methods For Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods", Third Edition, November 1986 And Its Updates.

Laboratory References:

TAL NSH = TestAmerica Nashville, 2960 Foster Creighton Drive, Nashville, TN 37204, TEL (615)726-0177

TestAmerica Nashville

Certification Summary

Client: Small Business Group Inc. Project/Site: Laurel Bay Housing Project TestAmerica Job ID: 490-62548-1

Laboratory: TestAmerica Nashville

Unless otherwise noted, all analytes for this laboratory were covered under each certification below.


Authority	Program		EPA Region	Certification ID	Expiration Date
North Carolina (WW/SW)	State Program	n	4	387	12-31-14
The following analytes an	re included in this report, but ce	ertification is not offe	ered by the governing	authority:	
Analysis Method	Prep Method	Matrix	Analy	te	
Moisture		Soil	Perce	nt Solids	
South Carolina	State Program	n	4	84009 (001)	02-28-15
The following analytes an	re included in this report, but ce	ertification is not offe	ered by the governing	authority:	
Analysis Method	Prep Method	Matrix	Analy	te	
8270D	3550C	Soil	1-Met	hylnaphthalene	
6210D					

TestAmerica THE LEADER IN ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING Nashville, TN	COOLER RECEIPT FORM	490-62548 Chain of Clustody
Cooler Received/Opened On 9/30/2014	4 @ 0840	
1. Tracking #	(last 4 digits, FedEx)	
Courier: FedEx IR Gun ID 94	4660220	
2. Temperature of rep. sample or tem	p blank when opened: 1.4 Degrees Celsius	
3. If Item #2 temperature is 0°C or less	s, was the representative sample or temp blank fro	ozen? YES NO.
4. Were custody seals on outside of co	ooler?	TES NO NA
If yes, how many and where: (1) Fr	Even & Back	
5. Were the seals intact, signed, and d	lated correctly?	TESNONA
3. Were custody papers inside cooler?	?	10NA
certify that I opened the cooler and ar	nswered questions 1-6 (intial)	Mom
7. Were custody seals on containers:	YES NO and Intact	YES NO (NA)
Were these signed and dated correc	ctly?	YESNOTA
. Packing mat'l used? Bubblewrap	Plastic bag Peanuts Vermiculite Foam Insert	Paper Other None
). Cooling process:	(Ice) Ice-pack Ice (direct contact) D	ryice Other None
 Cooling process: Did all containers arrive in good co 		ry ice Other None
	ondition (unbroken)?	
0. Did all containers arrive in good co	ondition (unbroken)? o (#, date, signed, pres., etc)?	TESNONA
 Did all containers arrive in good co Were all container labels complete 	ondition (unbroken)? o (#, date, signed, pres., etc)?	TESNONA
 Did all containers arrive in good co Were all container labels complete Did all container labels and tags ag 	ondition (unbroken)? a (#, date, signed, pres., etc)? gree with custody papers?	TESNONA
 Did all containers arrive in good co Were all container labels complete Did all container labels and tags ag Were VOA vials received? 	ondition (unbroken)? o (#, date, signed, pres., etc)? gree with custody papers? pace present in any VOA vial?	TESNONA TESNONA TESNONA TESNONA YESNONA
 Did all containers arrive in good co Were all container labels complete Did all container labels and tags ag Were VOA vials received? Was there any observable headsp 	ondition (unbroken)? (#, date, signed, pres., etc)? gree with custody papers? pace present in any VOA vial? ler? YES (IN)NA If multiple coolers, se	TESNONA TESNONA TESNONA TESNONA YESNONA
 Did all containers arrive in good container labels complete Did all container labels and tags again. Were VOA vials received? Was there any observable headspin. Was there a Trip Blank in this coolection of the cooler and a setting that I unloaded the cooler and a setting the setting that I unloaded the cooler and a setting the setting that I unloaded the cooler and a setting the setting that I unloaded the cooler and a setting the set	ondition (unbroken)? (#, date, signed, pres., etc)? gree with custody papers? pace present in any VOA vial? ler? YES (IN)NA If multiple coolers, se	TESNONA TESNONA TESNONA TESNONA YESNONA YESNONA
 Did all containers arrive in good container labels complete Were all container labels complete Did all container labels and tags age Were VOA vials received? Was there any observable headsp Was there a Trip Blank in this coole certify that I unloaded the cooler and set On pres'd bottles, did pH test strip 	ondition (unbroken)? (#, date, signed, pres., etc)? gree with custody papers? pace present in any VOA vial? ler? YES (INPNA If multiple coolers, se answered questions 7-14 (intial)	TESNONA TESNONA TESNONA TESNONA YESNONA YESNONA
 Did all containers arrive in good container labels complete Were all container labels complete Did all container labels and tags age Were VOA vials received? Was there any observable headsp Was there a Trip Blank in this coole certify that I unloaded the cooler and set On pres'd bottles, did pH test strip 	ondition (unbroken)? (#, date, signed, pres., etc)? gree with custody papers? pace present in any VOA vial? ler? YES (INPNA If multiple coolers, se answered questions 7-14 (intial) ups suggest preservation reached the correct pH in	TESNONA TESNONA TESNONA TESNONA YESNONA YESNONA YESNONA
 10. Did all containers arrive in good container labels complete 12. Did all container labels and tags age 13a. Were VOA vials received? b. Was there any observable headsp 14. Was there a Trip Blank in this coole 15a. On pres'd bottles, did pH test strip b. Did the bottle labels indicate that 16. Was residual chlorine present? 	ondition (unbroken)? (#, date, signed, pres., etc)? gree with custody papers? pace present in any VOA vial? ler? YES (INPNA If multiple coolers, se answered questions 7-14 (intial) ups suggest preservation reached the correct pH in	YESNONA YESNONA YESNONA YESNONA YESNONA YESNONA YESNONA YESNONA
 10. Did all containers arrive in good container labels complete 12. Did all container labels and tags age 13a. Were VOA vials received? b. Was there any observable headsp 14. Was there a Trip Blank in this coole 15a. On pres'd bottles, did pH test strip b. Did the bottle labels indicate that 16. Was residual chlorine present? 	ondition (unbroken)? a (#, date, signed, pres., etc)? gree with custody papers? pace present in any VOA vial? ler? YES (NPNA If multiple coolers, se answered questions 7-14 (Intial) ups suggest preservation reached the correct pH is t the correct preservatives were used I pH as per SOP and answered questions 15-16 (In	YESNONA YESNONA YESNONA YESNONA YESNONA YESNONA YESNONA YESNONA
 Did all containers arrive in good container labels complete Did all container labels and tags agains. Were VOA vials received? Was there any observable headspin. Was there a Trip Blank in this coolection of the cooler and set in the cooler and set in the cooler and set. Set in the bottle labels indicate that Did the bottle labels indicate that Was residual chlorine present? 	ondition (unbroken)? (#, date, signed, pres., etc)? gree with custody papers? pace present in any VOA vial? ler? YES(IN)NA If multiple coolers, se answered questions 7-14 (intial) ups suggest preservation reached the correct pH le t the correct preservatives were used I pH as per SOP and answered questions 15-16 (in ad out (ink, signed, etc)?	YESNONA YESNONA YESNONA YESNONA YESNONA YESNONA YESNONA
 10. Did all containers arrive in good container labels complete 11. Were all container labels complete 12. Did all container labels and tags age 13a. Were VOA vials received? 15a. Was there a Trip Blank in this coole 15a. On pres'd bottles, did pH test strip 15b. Did the bottle labels indicate that 16. Was residual chlorine present? 17. Were custody papers properly filled 	ondition (unbroken)? (#, date, signed, pres., etc)? gree with custody papers? pace present in any VOA vial? ler? YES (NONA If multiple coolers, se answered questions 7-14 (intial) ps suggest preservation reached the correct pH lo t the correct preservatives were used I pH as per SOP and answered questions 15-16 (in rd out (ink, signed, etc)? h the appropriate place?	YESNONA YESNONA YESNONA YESNONA YESNONA YESNONA YESNONA YESNONA
 10. Did all containers arrive in good container labels complete 12. Did all container labels and tags age 13a. Were VOA vials received? b. Was there any observable headsp 14. Was there a Trip Blank in this coole 15a. On pres'd bottles, did pH test strip b. Did the bottle labels indicate that 6. Was residual chlorine present? certify that I checked for chlorine and 7. Were custody papers properly filler 8. Did you sign the custody papers in 	ondition (unbroken)? a (#, date, signed, pres., etc)? gree with custody papers? pace present in any VOA vial? ler? YES (IN)NA If multiple coolers, se answered questions 7-14 (intial) ups suggest preservation reached the correct pH is t the correct preservatives were used I pH as per SOP and answered questions 15-16 (in ad out (ink, signed, etc)? in the appropriate place? he analysis requested?	YESNONA YESNONA YESNONA YESNONA YESNONA YESNONA YESNONA YESNONA
 Did all containers arrive in good container labels complete Did all container labels complete Did all container labels and tags age Were VOA vials received? Was there any observable headsp Was there a Trip Blank in this coold certify that I unloaded the cooler and set in the bottle labels indicate that Did the bottle labels indicate that Was residual chlorine present? were custody papers properly filled Did you sign the custody papers in Were correct containers used for the set in the set i	ondition (unbroken)? a (#, date, signed, pres., etc)? gree with custody papers? pace present in any VOA vial? ler? YES (IN)NA If multiple coolers, se answered questions 7-14 (intial) ups suggest preservation reached the correct pH is t the correct preservatives were used I pH as per SOP and answered questions 15-16 (in ad out (ink, signed, etc)? in the appropriate place? he analysis requested?	YESNONA YESNONA YESNONA YESNONA YESNONA YESNONA YESNONA YESNONA YESNONA

BIS = Broken in shipment Cooler Receipt Form.doc

ł

10/13/2014



Login Sample Receipt Checklist

Client: Small Business Group Inc.

Login Number: 62548 List Number: 1

Creator: Huskey, Adam

Question	Answer	Comment
Radioactivity wasn't checked or is = background as measured by a<br survey meter.	True	
The cooler's custody seal, if present, is intact.	True	
Sample custody seals, if present, are intact.	N/A	
The cooler or samples do not appear to have been compromised or tampered with.	True	
Samples were received on ice.	True	
Cooler Temperature is acceptable.	True	
Cooler Temperature is recorded.	True	
COC is present.	True	
COC is filled out in ink and legible.	True	
COC is filled out with all pertinent information.	True	
Is the Field Sampler's name present on COC?	True	
There are no discrepancies between the containers received and the COC.	True	
Samples are received within Holding Time.	True	
Sample containers have legible labels.	True	
Containers are not broken or leaking.	True	
Sample collection date/times are provided.	True	
Appropriate sample containers are used.	True	
Sample bottles are completely filled.	True	
Sample Preservation Verified.	N/A	
There is sufficient vol. for all requested analyses, incl. any requested MS/MSDs	True	
Containers requiring zero headspace have no headspace or bubble is <6mm (1/4").	True	
Multiphasic samples are not present.	True	
Samples do not require splitting or compositing.	True	
Residual Chlorine Checked.	N/A	

Job Number: 490-62548-1

List Source: TestAmerica Nashville

ATTACHMENT A

NON-HAZARDOUS MANIFEST	1. Generator's US E	PA ID No.	Manifest Doc	No.	2. Page 1		
3. Generator's Mailing Address: MCAS BEAUFORT LAUREL BAY HOUSING	Ge	nerator's Site Addr	ESS (If different than n	nailing):		est Number	01519116
BEAUFORT, SC 29904 4. Generator's Phone 843-879	9-0411	-//				B. State	Generator's ID
5. Transporter 1 Company Name	343.227.0	୦୦ 6. US	EPA ID Number			ransporter's I orter's Phone	
7. Transporter 2 Company Name			EPA ID Number	7		ransporter's li orter's Phone	D
9. Designated Facility Name and Site A HICKORY HILL LANDFILL 2621 LOW COUNTRY DRIVE RIDGELAND, SC 29936	ddress	1.20	S EPA ID Number		G. State F H. State F	acility ID acility Phone	843-987-4643
11. Description of Waste Materials		(12. Co	ontainers Type	13. Total Quantity	14. Unit Wt./Vol.	I. Misc. Comments
a. HEATING OIL TANK FILLED W	ITH SAND		1	304	5.98	Ton	749170
b.	10203330						
c. WM Profile #	1001 1001 1000		Tom				10-11
d.			N	1000	16.9 16.9		(internet)
J. Additional Descriptions for Materia	Is Listed Above		K. Dispo	sal Location			in the second second
			Cell Grid				Level
15. Special Handling Instructions and A UST'S FROM; D 252 BEECH Purchase Order #)435EK	ELER D ERDERY CY CONTACT / PH	1			landinal
16. GENERATOR'S CERTIFICATE: I hereby certify that the above-describe accurately described, classified and pac		per condition for tr	ansportation acco				
Printed Name	Receipt of Material	Signature "Or		Ste		-	Month Day
Printed Mame H Shr	92	Signature	elly	-			Month Day
18. Transporter 2 Acknowledgement of Printed Name Michael Bko	Ich	Signature	LI ?	20	1		Month Day
19. Certificate of Final Treatment/Dispo I certify, on behalf of the above listed tr	eatment facility, that		knowledge, the a	bove-descril	bed waste w	vas managed i	n compliance with all
applicable laws, regulations, permits an 20. Facility Owner or Operator: Certific			rials covored but	his maniford			

Appendix C Regulatory Correspondence





Catherine E. Heigel, Director Promoting and protecting the health of the public and the environment

July 1, 2015

Commanding Officer Attention: NREAO Mr. William A. Drawdy United State Marine Corps Air Station Post Office Box 55001 Beaufort, SC 29904-5001

RE: No Further Action Laurel Bay Underground Storage Tank Assessment Reports for: See attached sheet

Dear Mr. Drawdy,

The South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control (the Department) received the referenced Underground Storage Tanks (USTs) Assessment Reports for the addresses listed above. The regulatory authority for the investigation and cleanup of releases from these tank systems is the South Carolina Pollution Control Act (S.C. Code Ann. §48-1-10 <u>et seq</u>., as amended).

The Department has reviewed the referenced assessment reports and agrees there is no indication of soil or groundwater contamination on these properties, and therefore no further investigation is required at this time.

Please note that the Department's decision is based on information provided by the Marine Corps Air Station (MCAS) to date. Any information found to be contradictory to this decision may require additional action. Furthermore, the Department retains the right to request further investigation if deemed necessary.

If you have any questions, please contact me at kriegkm@dhec.sc.gov or 803-898-0255.

Sincerely,

Kent Krieg Department of Defense Corrective Action Section Bureau of Land and Waste Management South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control

Cc: Russell Berry (via email) Craig Ehde (via email) Bryan Beck (via email)



Catherine E. Heigel, Director Promoting and protecting the health of the public and the environment

Attachment to:	Krieg to Drawdy
	Subject: NFA
	Dated 7/1/2015

Laurel Bay Underground Storage Tank Assessment Reports for: (153 addresses/161 tanks)

111 Birch	363 Aspen
123 Banyan	364 Aspen
131 Banyan	366 Aspen
134 Banyan	369 Aspen
145 Laurel Bay	373 Aspen
150 Laurel Bay	381 Aspen
153 Laurel Bay	401 Elderberry
154 Laurel Bay	402 Elderberry
155 Laurel Bay	404 Elderberry
200 Balsam	410 Elderberry
202 Balsam	420 Elderberry
203 Balsam	424 Elderberry
208 Balsam	435 Elderberry Tank 3
210 Balsam	452 Elderberry
211 Balsam	460 Elderberry
220 Cypress	465 Dogwood
222 Cypress	477 Laurel Bay
223 Cypress	487Laurel Bay
252 Beech Tank 2	513 Laurel Bay
271 Beech Tank 1	519 Laurel Bay
271 Beech Tank 2	524 Laurel Bay
284 Birch Tank 1	535 Laurel Bay
284 Birch Tank 2	553 Dahlia
308 Ash	590 Aster
311 Ash	591 Aster
312 Ash	610 Dahlia
317 Ash	612 Dahlia
318 Ash	628 Dahlia
337 Ash	636 Dahlia
351 Ash Tank 1	637 Dahlia Tank 1
351 Ash Tank 2	637 Dahlia Tank 2
355 Ash Tank 1	641 Dahlia
355 Ash Tank 2	642 Dahlia Tank 1
360 Aspen	642 Dahlia Tank 2

SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL 2600 Bull Street • Columbia, SC 29201 • Phone: (803) 898-3432 • www.scdhec.gov Laurel Bay Underground Storage Tank Assessment Reports for: (153 addresses/161 tanks) cont.

655 Camellia	920 Albacore
662 Camellia	922 Barracuda Tank 1
683 Camellia	922 Barracuda Tank 2
684 Camellia	924 Albacore
689 Abelia	925 Albacore
694 Abelia	926 Albacore
695 Abelia	930 Albacore
741 Blue Bell	931 Albacore
742 Blue Bell	933 Albacore
755 Althea	936 Albacore
757 Althea	938 Albacore
776 Laurel Bay	939 Albacore
777 Azalea	940 Albacore
779 Laurel Bay	1010 Foxglove
781 Laurel Bay	1066 Gardenia
802 Azalea	1068 Gardenia
816 Azalea	1071 Heather Tank 2
822 Azalea	1100 Iris Tank 2
823 Azalea	1128 Iris
825 Azalea	1178 Bobwhite
828 Azalea	1204 Cardinal
837 Azalea	1208 Cardinal
851 Dolphin	1209 Cardinal
856 Dolphin	1210 Cardinal
857 Dolphin	1215 Cardinal
861 Dolphin	1216 Cardinal
864 Dolphin	1217 Cardinal Tank 1
868 Dolphin	1217 Cardinal Tank 2
872 Dolphin	1233 Dove
879 Cobia	1244 Dove
886 Cobia	1250 Dove
888 Cobia	1252 Dove
889 Cobia	1254 Dove
901 Barracuda	1256 Dove
902 Barracuda	1258 Dove
903 Barracuda	1263 Dove
904 Barracuda	1269 Dove
909 Barracuda	1276 Dove
910 Barracuda	1283 Dove
914 Barracuda	1285 Dove
915 Barracuda	1288 Eagle

Laurel Bay Underground Storage Tank Assessment Reports for: (153 addresses/161 tanks) cont.

1296 Eagle	1330 Albatross
1307 Eagle	1331 Albatross
1321 Albatross	1333 Albatross
1322 Albatross	1334 Albatross
1327 Albatross	1335 Albatross
1328 Albatross	